

Minimal Massive Gravity

or

The Third Way

Paul K. Townsend

DAMTP, Cambridge University, UK

Based on

Minimal Massive 3D Gravity, E. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm,

W. Merbis, A.J. Routh & PKT

Matter Coupling in 3D “Minimal Massive Gravity”,

A.S. Arvanitakis, A.J. Routh & PKT

FloratosFest, Athens, October 2014

A Question of Consistency

Consistency of Einstein equation $G_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}$ requires

$$D_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (*)$$

1. T is a matter stress tensor: $(*)$ is a consequence of matter equations.
2. $(*)$ is an identity; e.g. $T_{\mu\nu} = -\Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$. More generally, this leads to higher-derivative modifications.
3. The **third way**.

3D Einstein gravity

- ➔ Action (parity preserving): $I_{\text{Einstein-Hilbert}}[g] + I_{\text{matter}}[\phi, g]$
- ➔ Equation is $G_{\mu\nu} = (8\pi G_3) T_{\mu\nu}$
- ➔ Matter determines curvature *uniquely*, so **no gravitons**
- ➔ In AdS vacuum of radius ℓ central charges c_{\pm} of (putative) dual 2D CFT are [**Brown& Henneaux**]

$$c_{\pm} = \frac{3\ell}{2G_3}$$

[Valid in semi-classical limit for which $\ell/G_3 \gg 1$]

Topologically Massive Gravity

TMG (parity violating) action is $\frac{1}{\mu}I_{LCS}[g] + \sigma I_{EH}$ where μ is a mass parameter (and σ is a sign).

➔ Lorentz-Chern-Simons term is **third-order** in derivatives of g .
Variation gives **Cotton** tensor ('curl' of **Schouten** tensor):

$$C_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{\mu}{}^{\rho\sigma} D_{\rho} S_{\sigma\nu}, \quad \left(S_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} R \right)$$

So TMG equation is

$$\frac{1}{\mu} C_{\mu\nu} + \sigma G_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

➔ Propagates **one spin-2 mode of mass μ** , but positive energy requires “wrong-sign” EH term: $\sigma = -1$.

Bulk-Boundary clash for TMG

TMG has AdS vacuum ($\Lambda = -1/\ell^2$) and central charges of dual CFT are

$$c_{\pm} = \frac{3\ell}{2G_3} \left(\sigma \pm \frac{1}{\mu\ell} \right).$$

Positive c_+ and c_- requires $\sigma = 1$ but positive energy graviton (no ghost) requires $\sigma = -1$.

[$c_- = 0$ is special case, but resulting “chiral gravity” (Li, Song & Strominger) has non-unitary dual “log CFT”.]

➔ Conclusion: TMG has no unitary quantum completion.

➔ Higher derivative “corrections” to TMG don’t help.

The “third way”

Define $J^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2(\det g)} \varepsilon^{\mu\rho\sigma} \varepsilon^{\nu\tau\eta} S_{\rho\tau} S_{\sigma\eta}$

Satisfies identity $\sqrt{-\det g} D_\mu J^{\mu\nu} \equiv \varepsilon^{\nu\rho\sigma} S_\rho{}^\tau C_{\sigma\tau} \neq 0$.

However, using source-free TMG equation, and symmetry of S ,

$$\varepsilon^{\nu\rho\sigma} S_\rho{}^\tau C_{\sigma\tau} \propto \varepsilon^{\nu\rho\sigma} S_\rho{}^\tau G_{\sigma\tau} \equiv \varepsilon^{\nu\rho\sigma} S_\rho{}^\tau S_{\sigma\tau} \equiv 0$$

So J -tensor is conserved **as a consequence of TMG equation.**

➔ So far so good, but if we add J to the TMG equation then we change the equation used to establish $D_\mu J^{\mu\nu} = 0$.

Minimal Massive Gravity

Let's do it anyway! This gives source-free **MMG** equation

$$\frac{1}{\mu} C_{\mu\nu} + \sigma G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\gamma}{\mu^2} J_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

for constant γ . Using this equation (and previous results for J -tensor) we now find that

$$D_\mu J^{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon^{\nu\rho\sigma} S_\rho{}^\tau J_{\sigma\tau} \equiv 0$$

Identity follows from ' εS^2 ' structure of J .

➔ MMG equation **is consistent with Bianchi identities.**

➔ Because $D_\mu J^{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ there is no 'geometrical' action $I_{MMG}[g]$.

Matter coupling

Add source: $\frac{1}{\mu}C_{\mu\nu} + \sigma G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\gamma}{\mu^2}J_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}$. Consistency requires

$$\sqrt{-\det g} D_{\mu} \mathcal{T}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\gamma}{\mu} \epsilon^{\nu\rho\sigma} S_{\rho}{}^{\tau} \mathcal{T}_{\sigma\tau}$$

For $\gamma = 0$ (TMG) we can take $\mathcal{T} = T$ (usual matter stress tensor).

➔ For $\gamma \neq 0$ (MMG) the source tensor is (!)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} = & \frac{1}{(1 + \gamma\sigma)} T_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\gamma}{\mu(1 + \gamma\sigma)^2} \epsilon_{\mu}{}^{\rho\sigma} D_{\rho} \hat{T}_{\sigma\nu} \\ & - \frac{\gamma^2}{(1 + \gamma\sigma)^2 \mu^2} \epsilon_{\mu}{}^{\rho\sigma} \epsilon_{\nu}{}^{\lambda\kappa} S_{\rho\lambda} \hat{T}_{\sigma\kappa} + \frac{\gamma^3}{2(1 + \gamma\sigma)^4 \mu^2} \epsilon_{\mu}{}^{\rho\sigma} \epsilon_{\nu}{}^{\lambda\kappa} \hat{T}_{\rho\lambda} \hat{T}_{\sigma\kappa} \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{T}_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}T$

The MMG action

Einstein-Cartan formulation of 3D GR involves Lorentz-vector 1-forms e^a (dreibein) and ω^a (Lorentz connection). For TMG we need additional Lagrange multiplier 1-form h^a for zero torsion constraint [Grumiller, Jackiw & Johansson; Carlip].

$$L_{TMG} = \sigma e \cdot R + h \cdot T + \frac{1}{\mu} L_{LCS}(\omega)$$

[We use 3D vector algebra, T is torsion and R curvature]

➔ For MMG we just add an extra term

$$L_{MMG} = L_{TMG} - \frac{\gamma}{2(1+\gamma\sigma)^2} e \cdot h \times h$$

A puzzle posed and solved

- Field equations allow (h, ω) to be eliminated algebraically, leaving a third-order equation for e . This is the MMG equation when expressed in terms of metric g .
- Puzzle: **why can't we eliminate (h, ω) in the action to get an action for e , and hence for g ?** This can be done for TMG.
- Resolution: When $\gamma \neq 0$, elimination of (h, ω) requires use of e -eq. **But then it is illegitimate to back-substitute into action.**
- MMG goes the "third way".

Resolution of Bulk vs Boundary clash

- ➔ Linearization about AdS gives **identical results to TMG**
- ➔ Hamiltonian analysis of non-linear theory gives **same phase-space dimension as TMG**
- ➔ Characteristics of MMG equation **same as TMG** because J contains no ' ∂R ' terms .
- ➔ But: we can choose MMG parameters such that graviton has positive energy **and** $c_{\pm} > 0$.

So MMG is **viable candidate** for semi-classical limit of a unitary quantum 3D massive gravity.

The last slide

Manolis.

Best wishes for the future!